

Public and Patient Involvement Assurance Group (PPIAG)

Report from meeting of: Wednesday 22 March 2023

Attendance: Evan Rees, Rasheed Cader, Brigitte Heller, Janet Thompson, Vaughan McLeod, Andy Murtha, Brian Rowlands, Mary Smith

Integrated Care Board (ICB) representation: Sue Venables, Kirstie Swinfield (notes)

Apologies: Nishita Andrea Ganatra, Ruth Olugbenga

The meeting was quorate.

There were no declarations of interest.

First item

Topic presented	Developing our five-year joint forward plan – a strategy for the NHS Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland Integrated Care Board (LLR ICB)
Presented by	Amit Sammi, Head of Strategy and Planning Jo Grizzell, Senior Planning Manager Sue Venables, Head of Engagement and Insights
Purpose of presenting	The PPIAG were asked to assure that the Five Year Joint Forward Plan for NHS Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland Integrated Care Board is being developed in consideration of data and insights from staff, patients and carers and with appropriate and sufficient involvement.
Geographical scope of proposed engagement	Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland
Demographic scope of proposed engagement <small>E.g. age, gender, income/occupation, cultural background, disability</small>	All groups
Proposed engagement timescales	Validation of the plan will take place for 3 weeks commencing circa 8 May 2023
Evidence of engagement activities is provided by	The slides presented a summary of top line themes from analysis of multiple sources of data collected during 2020/21, 2021/22 and 2022/23 including acute and maternity reconfiguration, Covid 19 report, primary care (local and

	<p>national) and mental health totalling qualitative insights from 52,000 people.</p> <p>This data was then examined against 79 local reports, produced by NHS bodies and other local organisations produced during the last 3 – 5 years.</p>
Which LLR-wide collaboratives and design groups does this impact	All
Key themes emerging from the presentations as identified by the Group	<p>Public and private healthcare services need to work together, particularly in relation to the public sector recognising the role of the private healthcare provider, while recognising the NHS is free at the point of delivery.</p> <p>The group observed that learning disabilities and autism are linked closely with mental health; however, they are separate ambition areas as they each have a collaborative (a group of representatives from across the system, including the local authorities, that specialise in a particular area of delivery). Should this be the case, given the strong interdependencies?</p> <p>Consideration into how care is provided to family units and communities should be included within the plan.</p> <p>The Group would like to learn more about the carer's strategy.</p> <p>There is growing public concern regarding workforce issues.</p> <p>It is positive that the plan includes enabling self-care, as more work is required on this issue.</p> <p>Text mentions an aging population; however, life expectancy is no longer increasing so it may be more appropriate to refer to a growing population.</p> <p>Considering the need for greater investment in home care, the VCSE sector, communities, etc., the group acknowledge that the plan will require sense checks and edits to ensure that it is credible and deliverable.</p> <p>The plan is important to demonstrate the need for increased funding and workforce.</p> <p>Childrens services are vitally important and working more closely with schools to share health messages may support this.</p>
Are there any implications for consultation processes?	When engaging with the public on the plan, a 50 – 60 page document is too long and a shorter version would need to be available.

Areas of good practice	The insight driven action slides are good and help to provide assurance that insights have turned into actions.
Areas for improvement and recommendations	For equity, should men's health be included in the plan?
Was the Group assured that engagement/consultation had been satisfactorily completed?	N/A
Was the Group assured that insights had impacted decisions/planning?	Yes – the Group were assured of the trajectory of the plan. They asked that this topic comes back to the group post-engagement.
Second item	
Topic presented	Involving people and communities – progress on delivery of ICB People and Community Strategy
Presented by	Sue Venables, Head of Engagement and Insights
Purpose of presenting	The PPIAG is asked to provide assurance on the update of the progress made to implement the ICB People and Communities Strategy 2022/24.
Key themes emerging from the presentations as identified by the Group	The group observed that, to date, two priorities in the plan have a current RAG status of green (activity developed and initiative ongoing and evolving), ten are amber (activity is in development) and five are red (activity yet to be implemented). On first reading, progress since July 2022 seems slow. However, the group appreciate that the Strategy is over two years – to end of July 2024, and many projects are interdependent and require the development of some priorities before other priorities can commence.
Are there any implications for consultation processes?	No
Was the Group assured that engagement/consultation had been satisfactorily completed?	N/A For information only
Was the Group assured that insights had impacted decisions/planning?	N/A For information only

Standing agenda items

Report from the previous meeting	The report from the PPIAG meeting on 22 February 2023 was approved.
Horizon scanning	No new items added to the agenda planner.
Other business	The next meeting will be on Wednesday 26 April at 10am, online via Zoom.